A experiência da Agência de Proteção Ambiental dos EUA em acidentes de grandes proporções envolvendo derrames de óleo

Dr. James C. Staves Coordenador de Preparação para Emergências da Agência de Proteção Ambiental dos EUA

US.Environmental Protection Agency Region 6 Dallas, Texas



Louisiana, Arkansas, Oklahoma, New Mexico, Texas and 66 Tribes

EPA in Action

EPA: Emergency Responses





Oil Spills

EPA: Emergency Responses







EL DORADO, ARKANSAS

EPA: Emergency Responses



HOUSTON, TEXAS

NATIONAL RESPONSE SYSTEM AN OVERVIEW



NRS Concepts of Response



UNITED STATES

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Authorities



- National Contingency Plan 40 CFR 300
- Clean Water Act
 - Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (amended CWA)
- CERCLA aka Superfund
 - Emergency Planning and Community Rightto-Know Act (amended CERCLA)
- Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
 - Controlling hazardous wastes
 - Underground Storage Tanks

Organization and Components Federal OSCs

- Coordinate all federal containment, removal, and disposal efforts and resources during an incident, per the NCP or Federal Response Plan
- Ensure proper notification
 - Conduct Emergency Response Evaluation
 - Recommendation/Decision
- Direct/coordinate, and/or provide technical assistance to all response efforts at site
- Maintain decision-making authority
- Ensure access to information

Organization and Components Federal OSCs (cont'd)

- Typical types of response actions carried out under the NRS/NCP include:
 - Site safety plan development (response planning)
 - Sample collection and analysis (air/water monitoring)
 - Alternative water supply provisions (potable water)
 - Source control and stabilization (berms, booms, dikes, plugging release points)
 - On-site treatment (neutralization, thermal destruction, solidification, detonation)
 - Temporary relocation
 - Off-site disposal or treatment

FOSC Response Assets



- Enforcement authorities to ensure that the responsible party (RP) cleans up the spill or release;
- Immediate access to technical assistance and cleanup contractors if the RP cannot adequately handle the problem;
- Immediate access to SUPERFUND and OIL SPILL LIABILITY TRUST FUND;
- Technical expertise from special federal teams; and
- Special equipment.

EPA / USCG Boundary



ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY



Special Teams - EPA Environmental Response Team

- Sampling and Analysis
- Hazard Assessment
- Cleanup Techniques
- Specialized Technical Support
- Training and Education



Special Teams - NOAA & EPA Scientific Support Coordinators

- Environmental Chemistry
- Oil Slick Tracking
- Pollutant Transport Modeling
- Natural Resources at Risk
- Environmental Trade-off of Countermeasures and Cleanup
- Information Management
- Liaison to Scientific Community



Special Teams - USCG National Strike Force

- USCG National Strike Force (NSF)

 National Strike Teams Atlantic, Gulf, and Pacific
 - National Strike Force Coordination Center (NSFCC)
 - USCG Public Information Assist Team (PIAT)



Special Teams - Navy Supervisor of Salvage

n Salvage/Search and Recoveryn Shipboard Damage Controln Diving



Special Teams - EPA Radiological ERTs

- Radiation Monitoring
- Radionuclide Analysis
- Radiation Health Physics
- Risk Assessment
- Mobile and Fixed Laboratories



NRS Funding Mechanisms Oil Spills OPA 90 Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund (OSLTF)



Hazardous Substances

The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), a.k.a., Superfund



Access to the NRS

 National level - Contact the National Response Center at: 1-800-424-8802



 Regional level - Contact the appropriate USCG or EPA RRT Co-Chair

In Summary: Key Components of the NRS

- National Contingency Plan
- National Response Center
- Federal On-Scene Coordinators
- Regional and National Response Teams
- Superfund and the OSLTF



EPA Region 6 Accidental Release Information : 2001 - 2011

UNITED STATES 🛧 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Page 24









ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Factoid

Since 2001, approximately <u>4.0 % of all</u> release reports have led to a significant event (death, injury, community evacuation, shelter-in-place)

Deaths, injuries, and evacuations may not be directly due to exposure, but as a consequence of the accident resulting in the release

> Since 2002, statistically there is a shelter-in-place or evacuation of a community (whole or part) due to a hazardous substance, oil, or other material incident somewhere in Region 6, <u>on a weekly basis</u>

> > Page 29

Factoid

Since 2006, more release reports to EPA through the National Response Center have originated from Harris County (Greater Houston), Texas, than 47 States

It is truly the center of the Chemical Universe !



NRC Notifications to EPA Region 6 -- Top Hazardous Materials Released (2002 - 2011)



UNITED STATES

★ ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY



NRC Notifications to EPA Region 6 -- Time from Release to Reported to NRC -- by Year (1982 - 2011)



Hazardous Substances: 585 to 176 minutes - Other/Unknown: 721 to 199 minutesOil / Oil Products:668 to 166 minutes- Cumulative:650 to 175 minutes

This above indicated decrease over the years can be associated with an aggressive and extensive outreach program under CERCLA, EPCRA, and OPA, as well as a strong Regional enforcement program

The Deepwater Horizon: NRS/EPA Roles and Activities



Quantity of Oil Released

- 4.9 million barrels oil
- 205,800,000 gallons oil

First U.S Spill of National Significance (SONS)



NRS Roles and Responsibility

US Coast Guard: ➢ Provide FOSC Overall Incident Command **US EPA** Assist in Environmental Unit of ICS Environmental monitoring Concur with FOSC decisions regarding dispersant application Environmental Data Management
Response Objectives

- Objectives:
 - Secure the source
 - Fight oil as far off shore as possible
 - Protect resources and set conditions for recovery
 - Ensure unity of effort

STATES

UNITED

THE UNCLENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Subsurface Activity



UNITED STATES

THE UNCLENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Offshore Operations





39

- Skimming
- Dispersants
- In-Situ Burning

Nearshore Operations





Skimmers

Vessels of Opportunity



UNCLASSIFIED

Bays/Beaches/Marshes Operations





- Skimmers
- Booming & Barrier Establishment
- Shore-line Cleanup Assessment Teams
- Clean-up Personnel

UNITED STATES

Wildlife Recovery Personnel

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY



ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Boom deployed:

- 3.795 million feet containment
- 9.664 million feet sorbent
- Total: over 13 million feet





Controlled burns conducted: 411 - Total bbls burned: 265,450 bbls.







• Impacted Areas

- 109.5 miles heavy to moderate oiled shoreline
- 485.3 light to trace oiled shoreline





Wildlife impacted

- 4,787 visibly oiled
- 3,992 no visibly oiled

As of Sept. 20

Summary of EPA Sampling / Monitoring Activities



- Over 3,600 water, air, and sediment samples collected
 - Water 1,107
 - Sediment 294
 - Oil / mousse 56
 - Waste 69
 - Air 2,224

- All sample results posted to EPA website

Additional Monitoring / Sampling (just some of them)

BP (CTEH) NOAA USCG CDC OSHA USGS Air, Water, Sediment Water Water Air, Water, Sediment Air / Personal Water

Unique Aspects of DWH Environmental Response

- Unprecedented continuous deep sea oil discharge
- Unprecedented subsurface use of dispersants
- Unprecedented geographic scope
- Continuous surface and subsurface use of dispersants

Typical Dispersant Authorization Process

- National Contingency Plan, Subpart J

 Pre-Authorization for dispersant use provided to FOSC by RRT
 - Incident specific authorization provided by RRT
 - Concurrence of EPA, DOI, and State representatives to the RRT

Incident Specific DWH Dispersant Protocols

 Involvement of "cabinet level" senior officials

 Subsea – Directive and Addenda (EPA and USCG)

Surface – Daily approval process

Specific Requirements Developed during the DWH spill response

- Dispersant Monitoring and Assessment Directive – May 9, 2010
 - Proof of concept (subsea dispersant injection)
 - Characterization plan
 - Operational shutdown criteria
 - Dissolved oxygen below 2 ppm
 - Rototox toxicitiy deemed excessive by EPA and NOAA.
 - Note: Actual shutdown decision to be advised by RRT.

Requirements Developed during the DWH spill response (cont)

- Dispersant Monitoring and Assessment Directive – Addendum 1, May 14, 2010
 - Continuous implementation of monitoring
 - EPA/NOAA scientist participation
 - LISST Particle Size Analysis
 - Daily data reporting
- Addenda 2 4
 - Dispersant alternatives / reduction
 - DO probe calibration via Winkler titrations

Requirements Developed during the DWH spill response (cont)

- Dispersant Monitoring and Assessment Directive – Addendum 1, May 14, 2010
 - Continuous implementation of monitoring
 - EPA/NOAA scientist participation
 - LISST Particle Size Analysis
 - Daily data reporting
- Addenda 2 4
 - Dispersant alternatives / reduction
 - DO probe calibration via Winkler titrations

Dispersant Usage

- Dispersants applied:
 - 976,237 gallons (aerial)
 - 96,277 gallons (surface)
 - 771,272 gallons (subsea)
 - Total:
 - 1,843,786 gallons



Environmental Monitoring Surface, Subsurface, and Shoreline

Subsurface Monitoring Unit (SMU)



SPECIAL MONITORING of APPLIED RESPONSE TECHNOLOGIES

Developed by:

U.S. Coast Guard National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Minerals Management Service v. 8/2006



Smoke cising from the New Carlins, February 1999, Photo by USCG

Goals

Determine the efficacy of dispersants sprayed from aircraft or injected directly into the oil from the riser

Determine the vertical and lateral extent of any Subsurface oil plumes encountered

Monitor for attainment of Dissolved Oxygen and toxicity "Shut Off" criteria

Deep Water Horizon Subsurface Dispersant Monitoring

Methods and Analytical Parameters:

- CTD probe results
- Dissolved Oxygen
- Rototox Toxicity
- TPH
- TPAH
- VOA
- LISST Particle analysis
- Dual wavelength Fluorescence
- Microbial Analysis

Deep Water Horizon Integrated Subsurface Dispersant Monitoring Program



UNITED STATES

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Deep Water Horizon Subsurface Dispersant Monitoring

Rototox Toxicity Test

Rotifer Toxicity Summary

- Rotifers are small aquatic organisms that are added to water sample treatments, and their survival over 24 hours is evaluated.
- Based on the ASTM method and performance standards for the rotifer test procedures, high survival (>90%) of control and sample treatments are classified as "not toxic."
- For DWH water samples collected during the emergency response, 89% of the samples were not toxic.
- There were limited observations of toxicity across the samples:
 - 10% showed MARGINAL toxicity (survival range <90% to ≥75%)
 - 1% showed OVERT toxicity (survival <75%)

Deep Water Horizon Subsurface Dispersant Monitoring Program





Deep Water Horizon Subsurface Dispersant Monitoring Program Fluorescence Intensity Ratio Analysis



Worker Safety & Health - OSHA

- Act as technical safety and health advisor to the Safety Section of Unified Command
- OSHA to assure workers protected and working safely
 - Coordinate on all BP training provided
 - Over 16,000 workers provided health & safety training
 - Audit classes for quality control
- Review, coordinate, and provide suggestions to BP and USCG on-shore and off-shore sampling methods and procedures including Vessels of Opportunity





Operational Overview of EPA Air Monitoring Efforts

- Mobile Air Monitoring Assets
 - ASPECT: Aerial Spectral Photometric Environmental Collection Technology
 - TAGA: Trace Atmospheric Gas Analyzer
 - Odor Complaints

Fixed Air Stations



ASPECT

Aerial Spectral Photometric Environmental Collection Technology

 Aerial Spectral Photometric Environmental Collection Technology (Flying Lab)

- Detect chemicals
- Thermal imaging
- High quality photographs
- Could stay in the air a long time

TAGA Trace Atmospheric Gas Analyzer



- Trace Atmospheric Gas Analyzer (Rolling lab)
 - Capable of collecting and analyzing samples while moving
 - Low detections limits
 - Real time data reporting

Fixed Station



EPA R5 H_2 S





H₂S pulsed fluorescence equipment and gas tanks

R5 Mobile Monitoring Trailer - note mast and inlet



18

Miles

Sediment

Deep Water Horizon Oil Spill For Official Use Only




Things to consider

- Location, Location, Location!
 - Power supply
 - Interferences
 - Security
 - Access
- Weather
- Duration
- Duplicate equipment

Oiled Wildlife Response

Department of Interior

Wildlife Hazing Methods

Bird Scare







Pyrotechnics

Hand launched bird scare devices





Scare Balloons







Breton National Wildlife Refuge

June, 2004, during Tropical Storm Arlene About 15 barrels of crude oil washed onshore during high tides



Difficult to reach – 20 miles offshore of Louisiana Mississippi River Delta





About 700 Young Birds Died – They Had Not Grown Their Feathers and Could Not Fly



was Constructed on the Mainland



Flushing the Digestive Tract with Fluids to Remove Oil that May Have Been Swallowed While Preening

Washing a Bird with Detergent



BP Gulf of Mexico Oil Spill, April 2010



U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Deployed Personnel from All Regions of the Country



2079 Oiled Birds Were Collected Alive



1,246 Birds Were Released Alive After Cleaning and Rehabilitation

Incident Command System/ Unified Command

UNITED STATES





ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Advantages to UC/ICS

- Scalable to all sizes of events
- Promotes integration of varying agencies
- Standardized nomenclature and forms
- Training readily available
- Familiar to all United States response agencies and organizations

Challenges to use of UC/ICS in large response actions

- Involvement of Senior Agency officials who are not familiar with UC/ICS
- Perception that Federal Authorities are "too close" to responsible party
- Conflicts with existing agency organizational structures
- Interagency "stove piping"
- Transistioning to Area Command

Deepwater Horizon Oil Budget

Based on estimated release of 4.9m barrels of oil





Waste Management: Storage and Disposal

Guidelines and Things to Consider



Planning and Preparation

- Identify Regulatory Requirements
 - Regulatory Agency requirements
 - Roles and Responsibilities
- Develop waste characterization sampling plan
 - Number of Samples and Type of Analysis
 - Lab availability
- Identify recycle/reuse, disposal facilities and treatment options
- Develop tracking and reporting plan
 - Elements (type, quantity, location, deposition)
 - Procedures
 - Data management
 - Communication

- Establish situational awareness
- Update the plan with specific conditions
 –Types, amounts, locations, H&S
- Develop incident specific strategies
 - -Priorities
 - Available options (recycle, reuse, treatment, disposal)

- Identify transportation routes
- Notify potential facilities
- Identify staging areas
 - -Proximity
 - -Socio-economic considerations

- Respond, recover and stage waste
- Sample and characterize
- Exercise waste tracking and data management plans
 - Type, quantity, location, deposition
 - Develop database to organize data





Determine the method
-Reuse/Recycle
-Treatment
-Disposal





Oversight Considerations

- Initial plan review and approval
- Disposal facility compliance history
- Staging area inspections
- Independent tracking
- Duplicate sampling





Community Involvement

- Organize and present data
- Understand what it means
- Develop fact sheets
- Host availability sessions and public meetings
- Disseminate the data
- Address public concerns and complaints